1 JUNE 1999



Flying Operations

TG-11 AIRCREW EVALUATION CRITERIA

COMPLIANCE WITH THIS PUBLICATION IS MANDATORY

NOTICE: This publication is available digitally on the AFDPO WWW site at: http://afpubs.hq.af.mil. If you lack access, contact your Publishing Distribution Office (PDO).

OPR: 34 OG/OGV

(Maj Henry J. Santicola)

Supersedes 34 OG OI 11-409, 1 March 1996

Certified by: HQ USAF/XOOF (Col Dennis W. Kullander)

Pages: 22

Distribution: F

This instruction implements AFPD 11-2, *Aircraft Rules and Procedures*, and AFI 11-202, Volume 2, *Aircrew Standardization/Evaluation Program*. It establishes procedures and criteria for evaluation of all aircrews performing duties in the TG-11 aircraft. It does not apply to the Air National Guard or Air Force Reserve Command. File a copy of all approved waivers with this instruction. **Attachment 1** contains a glossary of references, abbreviations and acronyms.

See paragraph 1.2. of this instruction for guidance on submitting comments and suggesting improvements to this publication.

The Privacy Act of 1974 applies to certain information gathered pursuant to this instruction. The Privacy Act System Number F011 AF XO A, Air Force Operations Resource Management Systems (AFORMS) covers required information. The authority for maintenance of AFORMS is Title 37 U.S.C. 301a (Incentive Pay), Public Law 92-204, Section 715 (Appropriations Act for 1973), Public Laws 93-570 (Appropriations Act for 1974), 93-294 (Aviation Career Incentive Act of 1974), DoDD 7730.57 (Aviation Career Incentive Act of 1974 and Required Annual Report, February 5, 1976, with Changes 1 and 2), and Executive Order 9497. This instruction is affected by the Paperwork Reduction Act as amended in 1996.

Chapter 1—	-GENERAL INFORMATION	3
1.1.	Conducting Evaluations.	3
1.2.	Recommended Changes and Waivers.	3
1.3.	Procedures:	3
1.4.	Grading Instructions:	3
Table 1.1.	General Evaluation Criteria.	4
1.5.	Emergency Procedures Evaluation (EPE).	4
1.6.	Completion of AF Form 8, Certificate of Aircrew Qualification.	4

Attachment 2—SAMPLE AF FORMS 8, CERTIFICATE OF AIRCREW QUALIFICATION

15

Chapter 1

GENERAL INFORMATION

- **1.1. Conducting Evaluations.** All evaluations are conducted in accordance with the provisions of AFI 11-202, Volume 2, and this instruction.
- **1.2. Recommended Changes and Waivers.** Submit suggested improvements to this instruction on AF Form 847, **Recommendation for Change of Publication**, through standardization/evaluation (stan/eval) channels. Squadron Stan/Eval will forward approved recommendations to 34 OG/OGV in accordance with AFPD 11-2, paragraph 2.4.1. 34 TRW/CC is approval authority for changes/revisions to this instruction. 34 TRW/CC is waiver authority for this instruction. Submit waiver requests in message or memorandum format to 34 OG/OGV.

1.3. Procedures:

- 1.3.1. Flight examiners (FE) use the evaluation criteria contained in **Chapter 3** for conducting flight and emergency procedures evaluations (EPE). To ensure standard and objective evaluations, FEs must become thoroughly familiar with the prescribed evaluation criteria.
- 1.3.2. Unless specified, the examinee or FE may fly in either seat that best enables the FE to conduct a thorough evaluation. The FE normally occupies the left seat during periodic instructor mission evaluations.
- 1.3.3. Prior to the flight, the FE briefs the examinee on the purpose of the evaluation and how it is conducted. The examinee accomplishes required flight planning during the evaluation and furnishes the FE a copy of necessary mission data and maps if required.
- 1.3.4. he FE thoroughly debriefs all aspects of the flight. Debriefs include the examinee's overall rating, specific deviations, area grades assigned (if other than qualified), and any required additional training. A squadron supervisor must attend the debrief if the overall rating is Q-3.
- 1.3.5. Group OGV FEs (and above) may administer SPOT evaluations (including those outside their aircrew specialty) to any aircrew member assigned to the group. Squadron commanders may task any squadron assigned FE to administer SPOT evaluations to any aircrew member in their squadron.

1.4. Grading Instructions:

- 1.4.1. Tolerances in performance parameters are based on conditions of smooth air and stable aircraft conditions. Momentary deviations from tolerances are not considered in grading, provided the examinee applies prompt corrective action and such deviations do not jeopardize flying safety. Consider cumulative deviations when determining the area grade.
- 1.4.2. Use the Grading Criteria in **Table 3.1.** and the definitions listed below to determine individual area grades. When individual areas are performed well above the Grading Criteria standards then make an appropriate comment stating performance and/or instruction was commendable in the Examiner's Remarks in the Comments block of the AF Form 8. FE judgement is exercised when the wording of areas is subjective and specific situations are not covered.
 - 1.4.2.1. (Q) Performance meets Grading Criteria. Deviations occur that do not detract from the overall Grading Area, but are recognized and corrected in a timely manner.

- 1.4.2.2. (Q-) Performance does not meet Grading Criteria. Deviations occur that detract from the Grading Area when performed according to the Grading Criteria and/or degrades mission effectiveness. Recognition of deviations is slow or delayed and corrections are imprecise, inefficient, incomplete, or untimely. Maneuvers are performed safely but with limited proficiency. Examination of maneuver and procedural knowledge reveals deficiencies in depth of knowledge and/or comprehension.
- 1.4.2.3. (U) Performance does not meet Grading Criteria. Deviations occur that indicate performance outside allowable standards and/or adversely affects mission effectiveness. Recognition and correction of deviations is excessively slow or nonexistent. Performance is unsafe or indicates lack of knowledge or ability.
- 1.4.3. Derive the overall flight evaluation grade (Q-1, Q-2, or Q-3) from the area grades, based on a composite for the observed events and tasks according to AFI 11-202, Volume 2, this instruction, and FE judgment.
- 1.4.4. The General Evaluation Criteria in **Table 1.1.** apply during all phases of flight (except as noted for specific areas):

Table 1.1. General Evaluation Criteria.

200010 2020 001101			
General Area	Q	Q-	U
Altitude	±100 ft	<u>+</u> 200 ft	Exceeds Q- limits
Airspeed	<u>+</u> 5 mph	<u>+</u> 10 mph	Exceeds Q- limits
Heading	_	Maintains/rolls out ±20° of desired heading	Exceeds Q- limits

- **1.5. Emergency Procedures Evaluation (EPE).** During the ground evaluation, include a sampling of emergency procedures resolved to a logical conclusion.
- **1.6.** Completion of AF Form 8, Certificate of Aircrew Qualification. Record and certify aircrew member qualification using the AF Form 8 in accordance with Attachment 2 and AFI 11-202, Volume 2.

Chapter 2

EVALUATION REQUIREMENTS

- **2.1. Guidelines.** There are three types of evaluation in the TG-11: Qualification (QUAL), Instructor (INSTR), and SPOT. AFI 11-202V2 does not require an Instrument (INSTM) evaluation for TG-11 pilots. The requirement for a Mission (MSN) evaluation is waived by this instruction IAW the provisions of AFI 11-202V2, paragraph 4.3.3. All areas for evaluation are shown in **Table 2.1.** of this instruction, "Pilot Evaluations." Required (R) areas are indicated for that type of evaluation. A required area is a specific area that must be sampled to complete the evaluation. Include all required areas in the flight evaluation profile. However, if it is impossible to accomplish a required area in flight, the FE may elect to evaluate the area orally. Document the oral evaluation in the Examiner's Remarks in the Comments block of the AF Form 8. If the FE determines the required item cannot be adequately evaluated orally, the examinee requires an additional flight to complete the evaluation.
- **2.2. Requisites.** All evaluations include the following requisites:
 - 2.2.1. Closed and open book examinations.
 - 2.2.2. EPE.
 - 2.2.3. Boldface/CAPs examination.
- **2.3. First Pilot Evaluation.** A first pilot (FP) (QUAL) evaluation is administered to those individuals who will not instruct students. The examinee briefs the sortie profile and flies from the right seat. Include all required areas from **Table 2.1.** in the flight evaluation profile. A normal departure is flown, followed by area maneuvers in the local training area. The examinee performs each type of landing at either a nearby or the home airfield.
- **2.4. Instructor Pilot Evaluation.** All instructor pilot (IP) evaluations are combined with aircraft qualification evaluations to evaluate both proficiency and instructor ability. Select one of the following evaluation profiles:
 - 2.4.1. Field Selection. This sortie simulates a cross-country field selection sortie with the examinee in the right seat. The examinee briefs the sortie profile as if the examiner were a cross-country student. Include all required areas from **Table 2.1.** in the flight evaluation profile. A normal departure is flown, followed by area maneuvers in the local training area. The examinee instructs Field Selection/Overhead Pattern procedures. The examinee performs each type of landing at either a nearby or the home airfield.
 - 2.4.2. Pilot Instructor Training. This profile is for Pilot Instructor Training (PIT) IPs. The examinee briefs the sortie profile as if the examiner were a PIT student. The examinee flies from the left seat. Include all required areas from **Table 2.1.** in the flight evaluation profile. A normal departure is flown, followed by area maneuvers in the local training area. The examinee instructs maneuvers from the pilot upgrade syllabus. The examinee performs each type of landing at either a nearby or the home airfield.

Table 2.1. Pilot Evaluations.

Area	Title	FP	IP	
		QUAL	QUAL	INSTR
1	General Knowledge	R	R	R
2	Emergency Procedures	R	R	R
3	Crew Resource Management	R	R	
4	Publications	R	R	
5	Ground Operations	R	R	
6	In-Flight Checks	R	R	R
7	Takeoff	R	R	
8	Departure	R	R	
9	Climb	R	R	
10	Level-Off	R	R	
11	General Aircraft Control	R	R	R
12	Radio Procedures	R	R	R
13	Clearing	R	R	R
14	Judgment	R	R	R
15	In-Flight Engine Shutdown/Restart	R	R	
16	Thermalling			
17	Slow Flight			
18	Steep Turns			
19	Nose-High Stall			
20	Turning Stall			
21	Landing Attitude Stall			
22	Slips			
23	Oxygen Usage			
24	In-Flight Planning/Area Orientation	R	R	R
25	Arrival/Traffic Entry	R	R	
26	Straight-In Approach			
27	Normal Pattern & Landing	Note 1	Note 1	
28	Full Flap Pattern & Landing	Note 1	Note 1	
29	No Airbrakes Pattern & Landing	Note 1	Note 1	
30	Field Selection/Off-Field Landing Pattern	R	R	R
31	Simulated Engine Failure on Takeoff	R	R	
32	Go-Around			
33	Trim	R	R	R
	<u>l</u>	J	1	1

Area	Title	FP	IP	
		QUAL	QUAL	INSTR
34	Touch-and-Go Procedures	R	R	
35	Engine Operating Procedures	R	R	
36	Transfer of Aircraft Control		R	R
37	Grading Practices			
38	X-C Preflight Planning			
39	VFR Navigation			
40	Enroute Procedures			
41	GPS/L-Nav Usage		R	R
42	Outbase Procedures			

LEGEND:

R - Required Area

NOTES:

1. Sample at least one type of pattern and landing.

Chapter 3

EVALUATION CRITERIA

- **3.1. Evaluations.** To ensure standard and objective evaluations, use Grading Criteria in **Table 3.1.** for required proficiency standards. However, when evaluating instructors, use more general criteria to determine if instructor performance meets the desired mission objectives. Apply the following guidelines to assess the quality of instruction:
 - 3.1.1. Accuracy. Base instruction of a particular task on the delivery of accurate information, i.e., any information presented by the instructor should accurately reflect the numbers, procedures and policies contained in the Grading Criteria.
 - 3.1.2. Appropriateness. Tailor instruction to the student and the task being performed. Avoid teaching above or below the probable level of student understanding.
 - 3.1.3. Timeliness. Time inputs to provide the maximum benefit to the student learning a given task. Depending on the particular situation, the instructor gives inputs at prebrief, during an instructor demonstration, after student performance, or during a debrief.
 - 3.1.4. Error Analysis. The instructor notes student errors and delivers feedback to the student in a fashion that focuses on the techniques and/or procedures necessary for student improvement.
 - 3.1.5. Presentation. Instructor manner and presentation of information is always positive and motivational. Negatively oriented and demeaning delivery is never acceptable.
 - 3.1.6. Intervention. The instructor intervenes, either verbally or physically, prior to exceeding appropriate safety limits. Conversely, the instructor does not intervene so early as to reduce the opportunity for student learning.

Table 3.1. Evaluation Criteria.

Table 3.1. Evalua Grading Area	Grading Criteria
Area 1. General Knowledge: a. Aircraft General:	
b. Flight Rules/ Procedures:	Thorough knowledge of flight rules and procedures.
c. Local Area Procedures:	Thorough knowledge of local procedures.
Area 2. Emergency Procedures:	Uses all available indications to analyze the situation and emergency. Applies correct, immediate response to Boldface/CAPs and non- Boldface emergency situations. Resolves the emergency situation to a logical conclusion. Effectively uses checklist and in-flight guide. If in flight, maintains aircraft control during performance of critical or non-critical action emergency procedures.
Area 3. Crew Resource Management:	Effectively coordinates with other crewmember throughout the mission. Contributes to the smooth and efficient operation of the aircrew.
Area 4. Publications:	All publications required by AFI 11-2TG-11V3 and local procedures are current, contain all supplements/changes and are properly posted.
Area 5. Ground Operations:	Accurately determines readiness of aircraft for flight. Performs all pre-takeoff and post-landing checks in accordance with the flight manual and applicable directives. Ground handles and assembles/disassembles the aircraft properly. Taxis the aircraft safely while maintaining proper control deflections and power settings for existing winds and visually clears for ground traffic and obstacles.
Area 6. In-Flight Checks:	Correctly performs all required in-flight checks in a timely manner. Refers to appropriate checklists for all normal and simulated emergency procedures if time and conditions permit.
Area 7. Takeoff:	Maintains smooth aircraft control on centerline throughout takeoff. Promptly establishes proper pitch attitude allowing the aircraft to accelerate. Checks acceptable engine performance prior to lift off. Accelerates in ground effect and transitions to the appropriate climb angle and speed.
Area 8. Departure:	Performs departure as directed and/or in accordance with local directives.
Area 9. Climb:	Performs climb with appropriate power setting and maintains a consistent pitch attitude to achieve an appropriate climb airspeed.
Area 10. Level Off:	Levels off smoothly and at the proper altitude.
Area 11. General Aircraft Control:	Demonstrates thorough knowledge of the flight controls and uses them to maneuver the aircraft properly. Maintains appropriate airspeeds for each particular phase of flight. Remains coordinated during all phases of flight (except for intentional slips). Initiates timely roll out to maintain a desired heading.

Grading Area	Grading Criteria
Area 12. Radio Procedures:	Complete knowledge of and compliance with correct communication procedures. Effectively communicates with concise, accurate, and proper radio terminology. Maintains awareness of other radio calls and times transmissions appropriately.
Area 13. Clearing:	Maintains constant vigilance during all phases of flight using visual and auditory information to recognize and avoid conflicting traffic.
Area 14. Judgment:	Displays the airmanship and ability to make timely decisions and select alternative courses of action essential to mission accomplishment. Recognizes deviations and unsafe situations and takes appropriate action without prompting. Recognizes environmental effects on the mission and adjusts accordingly.
	Maintains smooth aircraft control throughout procedure. Performs engine shutdown and restart in accordance with flight manual procedures and techniques.
Area 16. Thermalling:	Demonstrates the ability to locate and remain within an area of lift using various degrees of bank in both directions. Maintains appropriate airspeed. Clears for other aircraft.
Area 17. Slow Flight:	Determines and maintains airspeed 3-5 kts above the first aerodynamic indication of a stall in wings-level flight and shallow turns. Uses sufficient rudder to remain coordinated throughout the maneuver.
Area 18. Steep Turns:	In turns using 45-60° of bank, maintains airspeed (± 10 kts) and rolls out on desired point (± 20 °). Uses sufficient rudder to remain coordinated throughout the maneuver.
Area 19. Nose-High Stall:	Raises the nose of the aircraft with sufficient airspeed to reach a wings-level 20–30° nose-high attitude. Continues adding backstick pressure to maintain the nose-high attitude until the aircraft fully stalls. As the nose falls (or wing drops), releases backstick pressure and recovers to a safe flying airspeed without a secondary stall.
Area 20. Turning Stall:	Enters a shallow bank turn and holds a constant bank angle with a slightly nose-high attitude. Recovers promptly at the first aerodynamic indication of a stall by relaxing backstick pressure (closing airbrakes if open) and using rudder (primary) and ailerons to roll wings level. Completes the recovery to a safe flying airspeed without entering a secondary stall.
Area 21. Landing Atti- tude Stall:	Enters a normal wings-level landing attitude with at least ½ airbrakes, +5° flaps or more, and landing gear down. Recovers promptly at the first aerodynamic indication of a stall by relaxing backstick pressure (primary) and closing airbrakes. Completes the recovery by establishing the landing attitude without entering a secondary stall while maintaining minimum safe flying airspeed.
Area 22. Slips:	Applies proper cross-controls for existing winds to increase the descent rate while maintaining a proper ground track and pattern airspeed (-0, +10 kts).
Area 23. Oxygen Usage:	Demonstrated complete knowledge of oxygen procedures and requirements. Able to use the equipment effectively in flight.

Grading Area	Grading Criteria
Area 24. In-Flight Plan- ning/Area Orien- tation:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Area 25. Arrival/Traffic Entry:	Performs arrival and enters the traffic pattern as directed and/or in accordance with local directives.
Area 26. Straight-In Approach:	Descends on glide path for pattern flown (normal, no airbrake), maintaining runway centerline alignment and final approach airspeed.
Area 27. Normal Pattern & Landing:	Flies proper rectangular ground track for existing weather conditions so as to roll out on final no lower than 300 ft AGL. Maintains pattern airspeed (-0, +5 kts) using the pitch of the aircraft. Uses airbrakes/slips to maintain desired final glidepath with approximately ½ airbrakes, at least +5° flaps, landing gear down, and idle power or engine off. Uses sufficient control inputs to maintain runway centerline on final. Begins a round out and flare 2-5 ft AGL and touches down in a three point attitude. Removes any crab prior to touchdown and uses the wing-low landing method to correct for crosswinds. Touches down in the first 500 ft of the runway and maintains runway centerline on rollout. Smoothly applies wheel brakes.
Area 28. Full Flap Pattern & Landing:	Flies proper rectangular ground track for existing weather conditions so as to roll out on final no lower than 300 ft AGL. Maintains pattern airspeed (-0, +5 kts) using the pitch of the aircraft. Uses airbrakes/slips to maintain desired final glidepath with approximately ½ airbrakes, full flaps, landing gear down, and idle power or engine off. Uses sufficient control inputs to maintain runway centerline on final. Begins a round out and flare 2-5 ft AGL and touches down smoothly in a three point attitude. Removes any crab prior to touchdown and uses the wing-low landing method to correct for crosswinds. Touches down in the first 500 ft of the runway and maintains runway centerline on rollout. Smoothly applies wheel brakes.
Area 29. No Airbrakes Pattern & Landing:	Flies proper rectangular ground track for existing weather conditions so as to roll out on final no lower than 200 ft AGL. Maintains pattern airspeed (-0, +10 kts) using the pitch of the aircraft. Configures aircraft properly and uses power/slips to maintain desired glidepath. Uses sufficient control inputs to maintain runway centerline on final. Begins a round out and flare 2-5 ft AGL and touches down smoothly in a three point attitude. Removes crab prior to touchdown and uses the wing-low landing method to correct for crosswinds. Touches down in the first 2000 ft of the runway. Maintains runway centerline on rollout. Smoothly applies wheel brakes.
Area 30. Field Selection/ Off-Field Landing Pattern:	Selects a proper field for an off-field landing and properly analyzes hazards of the selected field. Applies the proper Boldface/CAPs when practiced as an emergency procedure. Refers to the checklist/in-flight guide if time and conditions permit. Coordinates for assistance. Executes a 270° overhead pattern crossing over the field approximately 1000 ft AGL. Initiates a go-around no lower than 200 ft AGL or when no longer in a position to land safely.

Grading Area	Grading Criteria
Area 31. Simulated Engine Failure on Takeoff:	Establishes wings-level climb attitude and airspeed with full power. After simulated engine failure, immediately applies the proper Boldface/CAPs while maintaining aircraft control. If altitude permits, performs a tight 30-45° banked turn back to the landing field. If altitude does not permit, continues straight ahead to a suitable landing area. Maintains pattern airspeed (-0, +10 kts) and proper configuration.
Area 32. Go-Around:	Initiates and performs go-around promptly in accordance with flight manual and operational procedures and directives.
Area 33. Trim:	Adjusts the elevator trim as needed to minimize control forces appropriate for desired aircraft performance.
Area 34. Touch-and-Go Procedures:	Maintains runway centerline on the roll out. Accomplishes applicable items of the Before Takeoff Checklist. Makes timely and smooth application of power. Checks acceptable engine performance prior to liftoff.
Area 35. Engine Operating Procedures:	Properly adjusts the throttle, propeller, and cooling air doors to achieve optimum engine performance throughout the mission. Complies with flight manual and operational procedures and directives. Clears engine when appropriate.
Area 36. Transfer of Aircraft Control:	Transfers aircraft control using the appropriate physical and verbal procedures of transfer. Does not ride or bump the controls when not flying.
Area 37. Grading Practices:	Accurately assesses student's ability and documents grades in accordance with the training syllabus.
	Checks all factors applicable to flight according to applicable directives. Aware of alternatives available if flight cannot be completed as planned. Complies with local sign-out procedures. Ready at brief time.
Area 39. VFR Navigation:	Navigates from takeoff to the destination using a chart, pilotage, and dead reckoning techniques.
Area 40. Enroute Procedures:	Maintains situational awareness. Updates AF Form 70 and flight progress. Remains aware of changing weather conditions. Coordinates with air traffic control agencies as necessary.
	Demonstrates complete knowledge of GPS/L-Nav procedures. Able to use the equipment effectively in flight.
Area 42. Outbase Procedures:	Properly opens/closes the flight plan. Complies with checklist procedures and guidance for aircraft parking, security, and servicing. Completes aircraft forms. Notifies the Supervisor of Flying (SOF) of the mission status/changes.

Attachment 1

GLOSSARY OF REFERENCES AND SUPPORTING INFORMATION

References

AFPD 11-2, Aircraft Rules and Procedures

AFI 11-2TG-11, Volume 1, TG-11 Aircrew Training

AFI 11-202, Volume 2, Aircrew Standardization/Evaluation Program

AFMAN 37-139, Records Disposition Schedule

Abbreviations and Acronyms

AFI—Air Force Instruction

AFMAN—Air Force Manual

AFORMS—Air Force Operations Resource Management System

AFPD—Air Force Policy Directive

AGL—Above Ground Level

CAPs—Critical Action Procedures

DO—Director of Operations

EPE—Emergency Procedures Evaluation

FE—Flight Examiner

FP—First Pilot

FT—Feet

GPS—Global Positioning System

HQ—Headquarters

IP—Instructor Pilot

KTS—Miles Per Hour

MAJCOM—Major Command

OG—Operations Group

OGV—Operations Group Standardization/Evaluation

OPR—Office of Primary Responsibility

PDO—Publishing Distribution Office

PIT—Pilot Instructor Training

Q—Qualified

R—Required Area

SOF—Supervisor of Flying

 ${\bf STAN/EVAL} \color{red} - Standardization/Evaluation$

U—Unqualified

VFR—Visual Flight Rules

Attachment 2

SAMPLE AF FORMS 8, CERTIFICATE OF AIRCREW QUALIFICATION

Figure A2.1. Sample AF Form 8 Illustrating Qualification/Instructor – Q1.

	CERTIFI	CATE OF AIRCR	EW QUA	LIFIC	:AT	ION		DATE	COMPLETED 1 For OR
1			EXAMINEE	IDEN	tino	ATION		_	1 Sep 98
NAME David, Fixed Middle Julio	in?		EXAMPLE	. Horain	Ĭ	GRADE		ISSAN	
Schweizer, Paul [Fig. /						Capt			5-6789
ORGANIZATION AND LOCATIO	98					ACFT/	OREW POSITION	63.10(8)	LITY PERIOD
94 FTS, USAF Academy	y, CO					TG-1	1A/IP	May	Oct 98
II.			OUA	LIFICA	TION	V			
FXAMINATIONICHECK		MO PHASE	_	ADE	\rightarrow	FLIGHT PHASE MISSION/CHECK			_
		20 Iv- 00	_	V7		0111			DATE
Open Book	\neg	30 Jun 98	1			QuA	L/INSTR		1 Sep 98
Clesed Book	\rightarrow	30 Jun 98		16	+		-		+
Boldface/CAPs		30 Jun 98	+-	Q	-	-			+
EPE		1 Sep 98	-	1	+				-
Parties man	ATION LEV			ection	\perp		45.5		
GUALIFIED		UNDUALIFIED	(Figs.)	lander der		DUE D		KONTAL TRAIN	
				mental	- 1				
1			☐ YES	×	100			N/A	
EXPIRATION DATE OF QUALIFF	CATION .		1		ı	GATE	ADDITIONAL TRAINING		
El-	4.00								
COMMISSITS // rouse apace is o								N/A	
EXCEPTIONALLY QUA EXAMINER'S REMARK A. Mission Description (QUAL) and Normal E B. Discrepancies. None	ALIFIED (S: The exp	arrince completed	an evaluat were com	tion si unend	imeli	sting :	a field selection son	N/A	d Knowiedge
EXCEPTIONALLY QUA EXAMINER'S REMARK A. Mission Description. (QUAL) and Normal H B. Discrepancies. None	ALIFIED (S: The exp	arrince completed	were com	unend	table		a field selection son	N/A	d Knowiedge
EXCEPTIONALLY QUA EXAMINER'S REMARK A. Mission Description. (QUAL) and Normal B. Discrepancies. None	ALIFIED (S: The exp	arrince completed	were com	nend	table		a field selection son	N/A	d Knowledge
EXCEPTIONALLY QUA EXAMINER'S REMARK A. Mission Description (QUAL) and Normal H	ALIFIED (S: . The exc Pattern & c.	arrince completed	CERT	nend	TION		a field selection son	N/A	d Knowledge
EXCEPTIONALLY QUA EXAMINER'S REMARK A. Mission Description. (QUAL) and Normal B. Discrepancies. None	ALIFIED (S: . The exc Pattern & c.	aminee completed Landing (INSTR)	CERT	nrica	TION	K.		N/A	DATE
EXCEPTIONALLY QUA EXAMINER'S REMARK A. Mission Description. (QUAL) and Normal H B. Discrepancies. None TYPED NAME AND GRAZ FLIGHT EXAMINER Carl T. Hammer, C	ALIFIED CS: The exc Pattern &	aminee completed: Landing (INSTR)	CERT	nrica	TION	HIMMON .		N/A	1
EXCEPTIONALLY QUA EXAMINER'S REMARK A. Mission Description. (QUAL) and Normal H B. Discrepancies. None TYPED NAME AND DRAG FLORIT EXAMINER Carl T. Hammer, Capt Internet Chreme St. Johnson, Maj PEAL APPROVING OFFICER	ALIFIED CS: The exception & c.	onganization 34 OG/OGV 94 FTS/CC	CBR*	TIFICA 4	TION DHEGO S TO S	× PROPERTY X	#Юмати	N/A	DATE
EXCEPTIONALLY QUA EXAMINER'S REMARK A. Mission Description. (QUAL) and Normal F B. Discrepancies. None TYPED NAME AND QUA TYPED NAME AND QUA TOTAL T. Hammer, Capt T. Hamme	ALIFIED SS: The exc Pattern &	aminee completed: Landing (INSTR) GROANIZATE 34 OG/OGV 94 FTS/DO 94 FTS/CC	CBR:	TIFICA 4	TION DHEGO S TO S	× PROPERTY X	Alona rul	N/A	DATE
EXCEPTIONALLY QUA EXAMINER'S REMARK A. Mission Description. (QUAL) and Normal F B. Discrepancies. None TYPED NAME AND QUA TYPED NAME AND QUA TOTAL T. Hammer, Capt T. Hamme	ALIFIED SS: The exc Pattern &	onganization 34 OG/OGV 94 FTS/CC	CBR:	TIFICA 4	TION DHEGO S TO S	× PROPERTY X	#Юмати	N/A	DATE

Figure A2.2. Sample AF Form 8 Illustrating Initial Qualification/Instructor – Q2.

CERTIF	ICATE OF AIRCR	EW QUA	LIFK	CAT	ION		DATE CO	IPLETED 13 Nov 98
I.		EXAMINEE	IDEN	TIFIC	ATION	1		
NAME (Last, First, Middle Initial)					GRADE		SSAN	
Boeing, William E. [Fig. A2.2	1				Capt		123-45-	
ORGANIZATION AND LOCATION					ACFT/0	CREW POSITION	ELIGIBALIT	Y PERIOD
94 FTS, USAF Academy, CO						LA/IP	N/A	
IL		QUA	LIFIC	THOP	N			
GRO	IND PHASE		_	_		FLIGHT	PHASE	
EXAMINATION/OHDOK	DATE	gn.	MDE	_		MISSION/CHECK		DATE
Open Book	3 Nov 98	9	6		INTT	QUAL/INSTR		13 Nov 98
Closed Book	4 Nov 98	80	/90					
Boldface/CAPs	5 Nov 98	U	/Q					
EPE	13 Nov 98	L .	1					
				_				
QUALIFICATION LE	VEL UNQUALIFIED		dCTTOS Naio in	٠ ١	DUE D		IL TRAINING	·
QUALFED	UNGDALFED		menta/	- 1	DOED	ATES		
l I		X YES		NO.				
2		-	_			31.1	ın 99	
EXPIRATION DATE OF QUALIFICATION		1		- 1	DATE	ADDITIONAL TRAINING COM		
Apr 00		1		- 1			ov 98	
COMMENTS (if more space is needed, or	antinue on reverse)			_		2011		
RESTRICTIONS:								
A. The examinee will not fly as	an aircrew member	until suc	cessfi	ıl rec	examin	nation of Boldface/CAP	S.	
(Date of Unsat: 4 Nov 98)								
EXAMINER'S REMARKS:								
A. Mission Description: The e	xaminee completed	an evalua	tion s	imul	lating :	a field selection sortie.		
B. Discrepancies:								
1. Ground.								
Area I. General Knowle	age (QUAL) Q-, 1	rnowied	C OE B	ocai :	arca p	recedures was incomple	de.	
10.		160	TIFICA	TIO				
***	T	ven		CHEC				
			_	_	_	1		
TYPED NAME AND GRACE	ORGANIZATIO	OM	90	DO NOT CONCLE	1	SIGNATURE	- 1	DATE
				0.0				
FLIGHT EXAMINER								
1 Carl T. Hammer,	34 OG/OGV				×			
Capt								13 Nov 98
REVIEWING OFFICER								
Clarence K. Johnson, Maj	94 FTS/DO							
FINAL APPROVING OFFICER								
a Henry H. Arnold,	94 FTS/CC							
Lt Col				_				
			unde	etan	d the a	ction being taken this dat	e.	
DATE TYPED NAM	E AND GRADE OF EXAM	INCE				SIGNATURE		
W	Basina Cont							
AF FORM 8. MAY 85 /FF-V3/	. Boeing, Capt		_			DOM WHILE DE HIGED		

Figure 2.2. Continued.

AF FORM 8, MAY 85 CONTINUATION SHEET [Fig. A2.2. Continued] 2. Flight. Area 6. In-Flight Checks (QUAL) -- Q-. Preoccupation with instruction resulted in late completion of the Before Landing Check. Area 7. Takeoff (QUAL) -- Q-. Higher than normal takeoff attitude resulted in premature liftoff. Area 27. Normal Pattern & Landing (QUAL) - Q-. Rushed pattern and a long aimpoint resulted in landing long. C. Recommended Additional Training: 1. Ground. Accomplish two hours of review, followed by a ground evaluation with a PIT IP. 2. Flight. Accomplish one training sortie with a PIT IP, emphasizing takeoffs and normal patterns. REVIEWING OFFICER'S REMARKS: Capt Boeing completed additional training with Capt Jones on 30 Nov 98. The ground evaluation and sortic covered all required areas to a satisfactory level. No further action required. signed/dated CLARENCE K. JOHNSON, Maj, USAF Operations Officer

Figure A2.3. Sample AF Form 8 Illustrating Initial Qualification – Q3.

	CERTIF	ICATE OF AIRCE	REW QUA	LIFIC	NOITA		DATE CO	10 Nov 98	
			EXAMINEE	IDENT	FICATIO	N		22 1107 30	
NAME (Last First, Miss)	e Jultiell		and a state of the		GRAE	-	SSAN		
Cessna, Clyde [Fig	L A2.3.]				Cap		123-45-	6789	
ORGANIZATION AND LO	CATION				ACFT	CREW POSITION	ELIOIBIL II	OOMS99 Y	
94 FTS, USAF Acad	demy, CO					HA/FP	N/A		
II.	ana.	THE PROPERTY OF	QUA	LIFICAT	ION		LOSEN BOLLEGO		
EXAMINATION/C		DATE	- 03	ADE	+	MISSION/CHEC	LIGHT PHASE	DATE	
Endester Horse	neun	DATE		AUC	+	RESSERVENCE	n	UNIE	
Open Book		3 Oct 98	9	16	IND	QUAL		10 Nov 98	
•									
Closed Book		4 Oct 98	5	00					
			1						
Boldface/CAPs		4 Oct 98		Q	_				
FRE)	24.6							
EPE		24 Oct 98	3	/1	+				
	LIFICATION LEV	æ.	BEETT	истиом	+	*****	TONAL TRAINING		
QUALIFIED	COTON DON CEN	UNQUALIFIED	(Eq.	lanin An	DUE	ADDIT	WALL STANS		
governo.		2.702HLITED	Conve	membal	1000				
			N ves	□ *)				
		3			1	EPE 31 De	c 98, FLT 31	Jan 99	
EXPRATION DATE OF QU	IALIFICATION				DATE	DATE ADDITIONAL TRAINING COMPLETED			
	N/A					EPE 24 Oc	t 98, FLT 7 !	Nov 98	
RESTRICTIONS: A. The examinee w	vill accomplis	sh additional EPE	training be	fore th	e flight	phase of the evaluati	ion.		
A. The examinee w EXAMINERS REM. A. Mission Descrip B. Discrepancies:	ARKS: stion: No flig	ght evaluation flow	n due to us	nsatisfo	ctory pe		no EPE.	nadequate.	
A. The examinee v EXAMINERS REM, A. Mission Descrip B. Discrepancies: 1. Ground (EPE	ARKS: stion: No flig	ght evaluation flow	n due to ur nowledge o	nsatisfa of local	ctory pe	rformance during th	no EPE.	nadequate.	
A. The examinee v EXAMINERS REM, A. Mission Descrip B. Discrepancies: 1. Ground (EPE	ARKS: stion: No flig	ght evaluation flow	n due to ur nowledge o	nsatisfa of local	area pr	rformance during th	no EPE.	nadequate.	
A. The examinee w EXAMINERS REM, A. Mission Descrip B. Discrepancies: 1. Ground (EPE	ARKS: ntion: No flig	ght evaluation flow Q-3: 13 Oct 98) K	n due to un nowledge	neutisfication	area pr	rformance during the occidence and flight	ne EPE. manual was i		
A. The examinee v EXAMINERS REM, A. Mission Descrip B. Discrepancies: 1. Ground (EPE	ARKS: ntion: No flig	ght evaluation flow	n due to un nowledge	neutisfication	area pr	rformance during th	ne EPE. manual was i	nadequate.	
A. The examinee w EXAMINERS REM, A. Mission Descrip B. Discrepancies: 1. Ground (EPE TYPED MAME AND	ARKS: ntion: No flig	ght evaluation flow Q-3: 13 Oct 98) K	n due to un nowledge	neutisfication	area pr	rformance during the occidence and flight	ne EPE. manual was i		
A. The examinee w EXAMINERS REM, A. Mission Descrip B. Discrepancies: 1. Ground (EPE TYPED MAME AND FLIGHT EXAMINER	ARKS: ntion: No flig	ght evaluation flow 2-3: 13 Oct 98) K	n due to un nowledge	neutisfication	area pr	rformance during the occidence and flight	ne EPE. manual was i		
A. The examinee w EXAMINERS REM. A. Mission Descrip B. Discrepancies: 1. Ground (EPE B. Typed Mann and FLIGHT EXAMINER Carl T. Hammer,	ARKS: ntion: No flig	ght evaluation flow Q-3: 13 Oct 98) K	n due to un nowledge	neutisfication	area pr	rformance during the occidence and flight	ne EPE. manual was i		
A. The examinee w EXAMINERS REM. A. Mission Descrip B. Discrepancies: 1. Ground (EPE Typed NAME AND FLIGHT EXAMINER Carl T. Hammer, Capt	ARKS: ntion: No flig	ght evaluation flow 2-3: 13 Oct 98) K	n due to un nowledge	neutisfication	area pr	rformance during the occidence and flight	ne EPE. manual was i		
A. The examinee w EXAMINERS REM. A. Mission Descrip B. Discrepancies: 1. Ground (EPE TYPED MAME AND FLIGHT EXAMINER Carl T. Hammer, Capt REVIEWINS OFFICER	ARKS: ntion: No flip (i). (Date of C	cht evaluation flow 2-3: 13 Oct 98) K ORGAMIZAT 94 FTS/DOV	n due to un nowledge	neutisfication	area pr	rformance during the occidence and flight	ne EPE. manual was i	DATE	
A. The examinee w EXAMINERS REM. A. Mission Descrip B. Discrepancies: 1. Ground (EPE TYPED MAME AND FLIGHT EXAMINER Carl T. Hammer, Capt REVIEWINS OFFICER Clarence K, Johns	ARKS: ntion: No flip (i). (Date of C	ght evaluation flow 2-3: 13 Oct 98) K	n due to un nowledge	neutisfication	area pr	rformance during the occidence and flight	ne EPE. manual was i	DATE	
A. The examinee w EXAMINERS REM, A. Mission Descrip B. Discrepancies: 1. Ground (EPE TYPED MAME AND RUGHT EXAMINER CART T. Hammer, Capt REVIEWING OFFICER Clarence K, Johns Maj	ARKS: stion: No flig (i). (Date of C	cht evaluation flow 2-3: 13 Oct 98) K ORGAMIZAT 94 FTS/DOV	n due to un nowledge	neutisfication	area pr	rformance during the occidence and flight	ne EPE. manual was i	DATE	
A. The examinee w EXAMINERS REM. A. Mission Descrip B. Discrepancies: 1. Ground (EPE II. TYPED NAME AND FUGHT EXAMINER Carl T. Hammer, Capt REVIEWING OFFICER Clarence K. Johns Maj FINAL APPROVING OR	ARKS: stion: No flig (i). (Date of C	ORGAMIZAT 94 FTS/DOV	n due to un nowledge	neutisfication	area pr	rformance during the occidence and flight	ne EPE. manual was i	DATE	
A. The examinee w EXAMINERS REM. A. Mission Descrip B. Discrepancies: 1. Ground (EPE B. TYPED NAME AND FLIGHT EXAMINER Carl T. Hammer, Capt REVIEWINS OFFICEN Charles K. Johns Maj FRIM. APPROVING OFFI Henry H. Amold,	ARKS: stion: No flig (i). (Date of C	cht evaluation flow 2-3: 13 Oct 98) K ORGAMIZAT 94 FTS/DOV	n due to un nowledge	neutisfication	area pr	rformance during the occidence and flight	ne EPE. manual was i	DATE	
A. The examinee w EXAMINERS REM. A. Mission Descrip B. Discrepancies: 1. Ground (EPE II. TYPED NAME AND FUGHT EXAMINER Carl T. Hammer, Capt REVIEWING OFFICER Clarence K. Johns Maj FINAL APPROVING OR	ARKS: ption: No flip (i). (Date of C	pht evaluation flow Q-3: 13 Oct 98) K ORGAMZAT 94 FTS/DOV 94 FTS/DO	n due to un nowledge CERT	of local	area pr	rformance during the occidence and flight	ne EPE.	DATE	
A. The examinee w EXAMINERS REM. A. Mission Descrip B. Discrepancies: 1. Ground (EPE TYPED NAME AND FLIGHT EXAMINER Carl T. Hammer, Capt REVIEWING OFFICER CHAPPROVING OFFI HEINY H. APPROVING OFFI H. APP	ARKS: ption: No flip (i). (Date of C	pht evaluation flow (-3: 13 Oct 98) K ORGAMIZAT 94 FTS/DOV 94 FTS/DO 94 FTS/CC	n due to un mowledge of	of local	area pr	riformance during the occidence and flight section being taken the	ne EPE.	DATE	
EXAMINERS REM, A. Mission Descrip B. Discrepancies: 1. Ground (EPE II. TYPED NAME AND FLIGHT EXAMINER Carl T. Hammer, Capt REVIEWINS OFFICEN Clarence K. Johns Maj FRIAL APPROVING OF Henry H. Amold,	ARKS: ption: No flip (i). (Date of C	pht evaluation flow Q-3: 13 Oct 98) K ORGAMZAT 94 FTS/DOV 94 FTS/DO	n due to un mowledge of	of local	area pr	rformance during the occidence and flight	ne EPE.	DATE	

Figure A2.3. Continued.

AF FORM 8, MAY 85 CONTINUATION SHEET

[Fig. A2.3. Continued]

C. Recommended Additional Training:

Ground (EPE).

Examinee must accomplish two hours of review of local area procedures and the flight manual under the supervision of a PIT IP.

REVIEWING OFFICERS REMARKS:

Capt Cessna completed all additional ground training with Capt Stick on 24 Oct 98. Flight evaluation required.

signed/dated CLARENCE K. JOHNSON, Maj, USAF Operations Officer

RESTRICTIONS:

A. The examinee is placed in a SUPERVISED STATUS and will not perform aircrew duties until a successful QUAL recheck has been accomplished.

EXAMINERS REMARKS:

- A. Mission Description: The examinee completed an unsatisfactory evaluation.
- B. Discrepancies:
 - Flight.

Area 7. Takeoff (QUAL) -- Q-. Higher than normal takeoff attitude resulted in premature liftoff.

Area 21. Field Selection/Off-Field Landing Pattern (QUAL) — U. Examinee was unable to maneuver the aircraft to safety land in a suitable field resulting in IP intervention.

- C. Recommended Additional Training:
 - 1. Flight.

Examinee must fly a minimum of two review sorties with a PIT IP, emphasizing proper Field Selection/Off-Field Landing Patterns. Flight recheck must be accomplished for Field Selection/Off-Field Landing Pattern (Proficiency) to acceptable level.

REVIEWING OFFICERS REMARKS:

Two sorties emphasizing correct Field Selection/Off-Field Landing Patterns were flown with Capt Jones on 6 and 7 Nov 98. RQ QUAL evaluation required.

> signed/dated CLARENCE K. JOHNSON, Maj, USAF Operations Officer

Figure A2.4. Sample AF Form 8 Illustrating Recheck – Q1.

l.	OLITICA I	CATE OF AIRC	REW QUA	MUFICA	TION		DATE COM	PLETED 28 Nov 98
			EXAMINE	DENTIF	ICATION			20 1101 70
KAME (Cast, First, Middle In	1000				GRADE		SSAN	
Cessna, Clyde [Fig. A	A2.4]				Capt		123-45-6	6789
ORSAMIZATION AND LOCAT	TION				ACFT/CREW	POSITION	BLKSBILIT	Y PERIOD
94 FTS, USAF Acaden	ny, CO				TG-L1A/i	P .	N/A	
II.			QUA	LIFICATI	ON			
	GROU	NO PHASE				FLIC	INT PHASE	
EXAMINATION/CHEC	DK.	DATE	GP	WDE		MISSION/CHECK		DATE
					RQ QUAI	L		28 Nov 98
	- 1						- 1	
					1			
	\rightarrow							
	ICATION LEV			NOTION		ADDITIO	NAL TRAINING	
QUALIFIED	-	UNQUALIFIED	Coor	dair in mental	DUE DATES			
			□ vcs	X NO				
			III ves	E MO				
			-				N/A	
EXPIRATION DATE OF QUAL					DATE ADDI	TIONAL TRAINING C		
COMMENTS SY more space is	Apr 00						N/A	
Landing Patterns sur	en. 1 ma w reconfulls	as a recineur Qui	AL evaluate	on. The	examinee ac	complished all I	field Selection	on/Off-Field
Landing Patterns suc B. Discrepancies: 1. Flight.	ccessfully.	& Landing (QUA						
Landing Patterns suc B. Discrepancies: 1. Flight. Area 26. Norma	ccessfully.		L) – Q-, L		point resulte			
Landing Patterns suc B. Discrepancies: 1. Flight. Area 26. Norma	ccessfully.		L) – Q-, L	ong sim	point resulte			
Landing Patterns suc B. Discrepancies: 1. Flight. Area 26. Norma	al Pattern		LL) — Q-, L CER	ong sim	point resulte		ger than des	
Landing Patterns suc B. Discrepancies: 1. Flight. Area 26. Norms II. TYPEO NAME AND OR	al Pattern	& Landing (QUA	LL) — Q-, L CER	ONE SIZE	point resulte	d in landing loa	ger than des	ired.
Landing Patterns suc B. Discrepancies: 1. Flight. Area 26. Norms TYPED NAME AND OR FLIGHT EXAMINER	al Pattern	& Landing (QUA	LL) — Q-, L CER	ONE SIZE	point resulte	d in landing loa	ger than des	ired.
Landing Patterns suc B. Discrepancies: 1. Flight. Area 26. Norma TYPEO NAME AND OR PLICHT EXAMINER Carl T. Hammer,	al Pattern	& Landing (QUA	LL) — Q-, L CER	ONE SIZE	point resulte	d in landing loa	ger than des	DATE
Landing Patterns suc B. Discrepancies: 1. Flight. Area 26. Norma TYPEO NAME AND OR PLICHT EXAMINER Carl T. Hammer, Cape	al Pattern	& Landing (QUA	LL) — Q-, L CER	ONE SIZE	point resulte	d in landing loa	ger than des	ired.
Landing Patterns suc B. Discrepancies: 1. Flight. Area 26. Norma TYPEO NAME AND OR PLIGHT EXAMINER Carl T. Hammer, Cape Reviewing Officer	al Pattern	& Landing (QUA ORGANIZA 94 FTS/DOV	LL) — Q-, L CER	ONE SIZE	point resulte	d in landing loa	ger than des	DATE
Landing Patterns suc B. Discrepancies: 1. Flight. Area 26. Norms TYPEO NAME AND OR PLICHT EXAMINER Carl T. Hammer, Cape Reviewing officer Clarence K. Johnson,	al Pattern	& Landing (QUA	LL) — Q-, L CER	ONE SIZE	point resulte	d in landing loa	ger than des	DATE
Landing Patterns suc B. Discrepancies: 1. Flight. Area 26. Norms TYPEO NAME AND OR PLICHT EXAMINER CAIT T. Hammer, Capt Reviewing Officer Clarence K. Johnson, Maj	al Pattern	& Landing (QUA ORGANIZA 94 FTS/DOV	LL) — Q-, L CER	ONE SIZE	point resulte	d in landing loa	ger than des	DATE
Landing Patterns suc B. Discrepancies: 1. Flight. Area 26. Norma Typeo name and on PLIGHT EXAMINER Carl T. Hammer, Capt Reviewing officer Clarence K. Johnson, Maj FRAL APPROVING officer	al Pattern	& Landing (QUA ORGANIZA 94 FTS/DOV 94 FTS/DO	LL) — Q-, L CER	ONE SIZE	point resulte	d in landing loa	ger than des	DATE
Landing Patterns suc B. Discrepancies: 1. Flight. Area 26. Norma TUPED NAME AND OR PLIGHT EXAMINER Carl T. Hammer, Capt REVIEWING OFFICER Clarence K. Johnson, Maj FRAL APPROVING OFFICER Henry H. Amold,	al Pattern	& Landing (QUA ORGANIZA 94 FTS/DOV	LL) — Q-, L CER	ONE SIZE	point resulte	d in landing loa	ger than des	DATE
Landing Patterns suc B. Discrepancies: 1. Flight. Area 26. Norms TYPEO NAME AND OR PLICHT EXAMINER Carl T. Hammer, Cape Reviewing Officer Clarence K. Johnson, Maj	al Pattern	& Landing (QUA ORGANIZA 94 FTS/DOV 94 FTS/DO 94 FTS/CC	L) - Q-, L CERT	TIFICATION ON STATE OF STATE O	point resulte	d in landing loa	ger than des	DATE
Landing Patterns suc B. Discrepancies: 1. Flight. Area 26. Norma PLIGHT EXAMINER Carl T. Hammer, Capt Reviewing officer Clarence K. Johnson, Maj PRIAL APPROVING OFFICE Henry H. Armold, Lt Col	al Pattern	& Landing (QUA ORGANIZA 94 FTS/DOV 94 FTS/DO 94 FTS/CC FY that I have been	CERTION	TIFICATION ON STATE OF STATE O	POIN SON XX	d in landing lon	ger than des	DATE
Landing Patterns suc B. Discrepancies: 1. Flight. Area 26. Norma PLIGHT EXAMINER Carl T. Hammer, Capt Reviewing officer Clarence K. Johnson, Maj PRIAL APPROVING OFFICE Henry H. Armold, Lt Col	al Pattern	& Landing (QUA ORGANIZA 94 FTS/DOV 94 FTS/DO 94 FTS/CC	CERTION	TIFICATION ON STATE OF STATE O	POIN SON XX	d in landing loa	ger than des	DATE

Figure A2.5. Sample AF Form 8 Illustrating No-Notice Qualification/Instructor – Q1.

				-				T-1		
CERTIFICATE OF AIRCREW QUALIFICA								DATE CO	DATE COMPLETED 16 Oct 98	
L			XAMINEE	IDEN	TIFIC	ATION		_	22 041 70	
MAME ILest, First, Middle				GRADE						
Coblaiches Alexande	e PPie A2	6.1				C		122.45	6720	
Schleicher, Alexander [Fig. A2.5.] ORGANIZATION AND LOCATION						Cape ACETA	CREW POSITION	123-45-	TY PERIOD	
COMMERCION AND LUCI	THOM:					JACK III	(CHEW POSITION ELICIBIL		IIY PERIOD	
94 FTS, USAF Acade					TG-I	1A/IP				
II. QUALIFICATION										
P24448447034070		ND PHASE				FLIGHT PHASE			0.000	
EXAMINATION/OFFICE DATE			GRADE				MISSION/CHECK	DATE		
Open Book		14 Oct 98	9			NN QUAL/INSTR		19 Sep 98		
						-				
Closed Book		15 Oct 98	85		-	_				
Boldface/CAPs		16 Oct 98		Q						
EPE		19 Sep 98	-	1	-	_				
					- 1					
QUAL	FEATION LEV	AL.	RESTR	ECTION	N	ADDITIONAL TRAINING			0	
QUALIFIED		UNQUALIFIED		lain in runctsi		DUE D	ATES			
			☐ YES	50	NO					
			L	WCJ.	··~			N/A		
EXPIRATION DATE OF QUA	LIFICATION			DATE ADD			DOTTONAL TRAINING COMPLETED			
Feb 00				N/A						
EXAMINER'S REMARKS: A. Mission Description. The examinee completed a No-Notice evaluation simulating an PIT sortic. This evaluation satisfies recurring evaluation requirements. Oxygen Usage (INSTR) was commendable.										
B. Discrepancies. None. Note: The "Date Completed" is the date of the last evaluation requisite, while the "Expiration Date" is based on the date of the flight phase. These blocks, and the test scores, remain blank until the testing is compete (must be accomplished no later than the last day of the 2nd month following the month of the flight evaluation.) Show "Eligibility Period" if examinee is In-the-Elgibility Period. If open and closed book exams are taken on different dates, use the latest date in the "date block." ESTIFICATION										
			CHEC							
TYPED NAME AND O	30AFE	ORGANIZATION	٧.	0340.0	20 MOT 00	HIMMETS	SIGNATURE		DATE	
RIGHT EXAMINER Carl T. Hammer, Capt		34 OG/OGV				×			16 Oct 98	
PEVIEWING OFFICER 2 Clarence K. Johnson, Maj		94 FTS/DO							-	
FINAL APPROVING OFFICER 3 Henry H. Armold, Lt Col		94 FTS/CC								
I CERTIFY that I have been briefed and understand the action being taken this date.										
DATE TYPED NAME AND GRADE OF EXAMINEE SIGNATURE										
	Alexander	Schleicher, Capt								
F FORM 8, MAY 85 (EF-V3) (PMFORM PRO) PREVIOUS EDITION WELL DE USED.										

Figure A2.6. Sample AF Form 8 Illustrating No-Notice Spot – Q1.

Г	CERTIE	ICATE OF AIRC	SREW OU	ALIEI	САТ	ION		DATE OF	WPLETED	
CERTIFICATE OF AIRCREW QUALIFICATE OF AIRCREW									19 Sep 98	
1.	AME ILent. First, Middle Initial		EXAMINE	EIDE	VTIFK	GRAD		SSAN		
Г							1	1		
	Veibel, Gerhard [Fig. A2.6.]				Сари		123-45			
ľ	MISAN EATION AND LOCATION					ACF1/	CREW POSITION ELIGIBILITY PERIOD		TY PERIOD	
9	4 FTS, USAF Academy, CO				TG-1	IIA/IP N/A				
=			QUA	ALIRIC	ATIO	N				
L		IND PHASE					FLIGHT PHASE			
H	EXAMINATION/CHECK	DATE	DATE GR			MISSION/CHECK		DATE		
ı						NN SPOT			10.0 00	
H			_		_	MOL:	SPO1		19 Sep 98	
_				_						
Γ										
L										
H		-071								
-	QUALIFIED CUALIFIED	UNQUALIFIED		RESTRICTION (Explain in		Direct D	ADDITIONAL TRAINING			
_	GONEMED	ONGOVERED		roests		DOE D	ATES			
			☐ YES	[X)	NO					
	ı					N/A				
Đ	EXPIRATION DATE OF QUALIFICATION				- 1	DATE ADDITIONAL TRANSIS COMPLETED				
	Feb 00					N/A				
¢	OMMENTS III more space is needed, as	recious an reverse!								
	A. Mission Description. The elevaluation does not satisfy rec evaluation does not satisfy rec B. Discrepancies. None.	urring evaluation	requiremen	ice ev	30121	oion si	maiating an AM-23	51 student sor	tse. This	
III.			CER	TIFICA		_				
-			CEN	I	CHEC					
				-	T					
	TYPED NAME AND GRADE	OPERANZA	TION	CONCO	00 NOT	1	SHONATU	NE.	DATE	
_				9	20	l E				
	FLIGHT EXAMINER									
١	Carl T. Hammer,	34 OG/OGV				×	l .			
4	Capt			_	_	\vdash			19 Sep 98	
Clarence K. Johnson, Maj		94 FTS/DO		1		1				
				ì						
4	FINAL APPROVING OFFICER			_	_	\vdash	-			
Henry H. Amold,		94 FTS/CC				1				
	La Col					1				
		FY that I have been	briefed and	unde	retano	i the a	ction being taken this	date.		
DA	TYPED NAME	AND GRADE OF EXA	229114				SISNATURE			
7	FORM B, MAY 85 (EF-V3)	eibel, Capt								
r	FUHM B. MAY 85 (EF-V3)	ARTHUR DOOLS		0.0	METAL STATE	40 COM	TOW WILL BE USED.			